arXiv:1509.03226v1 [math.CO] 10 Sep 2015

A New Generalized Cassini Determinant

Ivica Martinjak
University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science
Bijenička 32, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
and
Igor Urbiha
Polytechnic of Zagreb
Vrbik 8, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Abstract

In this paper we extend a notion of Cassini determinant to recently introduced hyperfibonacci sequences. We find Q-matrix for the r-th generation hyperfibonacci numbers and prove an explicit expression of the Cassini determinant for these sequences.

Keywords: hyperfibonacci numbers, Cassini identity, linear space, integer sequence, polytopic numbers
AMS Mathematical Subject Classifications: 11B39, 11B37

1 Introduction

Given the second order recurrence relation, defined by

$$a_{n+2} = \alpha a_{n+1} + \beta a_n, \tag{1}$$

where α and β are constants, a sequence $(a_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is called a solution of (1) if its terms satisfy this recurrence. The set of all solutions of (1) forms a *linear space*, meaning that if $(a_k)_{k\geq 0}$ and $(b_k)_{k\geq 0}$ are two solutions then $(a_k+b_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is also a solution of (1). Furthermore, it holds true that for any constant c, $(ca_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is also a solution of (1). Using these basic properties of a linear space one can derive the identity

$$a_m b_{m-1} - a_{m-1} b_m = (-\beta)^{m-1}(a_1 b_0 - a_0 b_1), \tag{2}$$

where $(a_k)_{k\geq 0}$ and $(b_k)_{k\geq 0}$ are two solutions of recurrence (1) [7]. When $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and initial values of the terms are 0 and 1, respectively, the relation (1) defines the well known *Fibonacci sequence* $(F_k)_{k\geq 0}$. One can find more on this subject in a classic reference [8]. In case of the Fibonacci sequence relation (2) reduces to

$$F_{n-1}F_{n+1} - F_n^2 = (-1)^n \tag{3}$$

and it is called *Cassini identity* [3, 6, 9]. This relation can also be written in matrix form as

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n & F_{n+1} \\ F_{n+1} & F_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^n. \tag{4}$$

In this paper we study the *hyperfibonacci sequences* which are defined by the relation

$$F_n^{(r)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_k^{(r-1)}, \;\; F_n^{(0)} = F_n, \;\; F_0^{(r)} = 0, \;\; F_1^{(r)} = 1, \tag{5}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and F_n is the n-th Fibonacci number. The number $F_n^{(r)}$ we shall call n-th hyperfibonacci number of *r-th generation*. These sequences are recently introduced by Dill and Mező [2]. Several interesting theoretical number and combinatorial properties of these sequences are already proven, including those available in [1]. Here we define the matrix

$$A_{r,n} = \begin{pmatrix} F_n^{(r)} & F_{n+1}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{n+r+1}^{(r)} \\ F_{n+1}^{(r)} & F_{n+2}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{n+r+2}^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{n+r+1}^{(r)} & F_{n+r+2}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{n+2r+2}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we prove that $\det(A_{r,n})$ is an extension of (3). Thus, we show that the generalization of the Cassini identity, expressed in a matrix form, holds true for the hyperfinonacci sequences.

2 Q-matrix of the hyperfibonacci sequences

According to the definition (5) obviously we have

$$F_{n+1}^{(r)} = F_n^{(r)} + F_{n+1}^{(r-1)}. \tag{6}$$

In case $r = 1$ the second term $F_{n+1}^{(r-1)}$ is determined by the Fibonacci recurrence relation,

$$F_{n+3}^{(1)} \;=\; F_{n+2}^{(1)} + \left(F_{n+2}^{(1)} - F_{n+1}^{(1)}\right) + \left(F_{n+1}^{(1)} - F_n^{(1)}\right),$$

thus we have

$$F_{n+3}^{(1)} \quad = \quad 2F_{n+2}^{(1)} - F_n^{(1)}. \tag{7}$$

Now, iteratively using (7) we derive the recurrence relation

$$F_{n+2}^{(1)} = F_{n+1}^{(1)} + F_n^{(1)} + 1, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n+3}^{(1)} &= F_{n+2}^{(1)} + 2F_{n+1}^{(1)} - F_{n-1}^{(1)} - F_n^{(1)} \\ &= F_{n+2}^{(1)} + F_{n+1}^{(1)} + 2F_n^{(1)} - F_{n-2}^{(1)} - F_{n-1}^{(1)} - F_n^{(1)} \\ &= F_{n+2}^{(1)} + F_{n+1}^{(1)} + \cdots + F_3^{(1)} - F_0^{(1)} - F_1^{(1)} - \cdots - F_n^{(1)} \\ &= F_{n+2}^{(1)} + F_{n+1}^{(1)} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

When $r = 2$ we use the same approach to get recurrence for the second generation of the hyperfibonacci numbers,

$$F_{n+2}^{(2)} = F_{n+1}^{(2)} + F_n^{(2)} + n + 2. \tag{9}$$

Namely, in this case the second term in (6) is determined by obtained recurrence relation (8). This means that again we can perform the $(n+1)$-step iterative procedure, this time using

$$F_{n+3}^{(2)} = 2F_{n+2}^{(2)} - F_n^{(2)} + 1. \tag{10}$$

The fact that terms indexed 3 through n cancel each other and that $(n+1)$ 1s remains, completes the proof of (9).

Recall that *polytopic numbers* are generalization of square and triangular numbers. These numbers can be represented by a regular geometrical arrangement of equally spaced points. The n-th regular r-topic number $P_n^{(r)}$ is equal to

$$P_n^{(r)} = \binom{n+r-1}{r}. \tag{11}$$

When $r = 3$, the i-th step of the iterative procedure described above results with an extra i, which sum to a triangular number $\binom{n+3}{2}$ after the final $(n+1)$st iteration. Furthermore, in the next case we add the i-th triangular number in i-th step of iteration. According to the properties of polytopic numbers, these numbers sum to the tetrahedral number $\binom{n+4}{3}$. In general, in the i-th step of the iteration we add i-th regular $(r-1)$-topic number and sum of these numbers after the final step of the procedure is the regular polytopic number $\binom{n+r}{r-1}$. Now we collect all this reasoning into the following

Lemma 1. *The difference between n-th r-generation hyperfibonacci number and the sum of its two consecutive predecessors is n-th regular (r-1)-topic number,*

$$F_{n+2}^{(r)} = F_{n+1}^{(r)} + F_{n}^{(r)} + \binom{n+r}{r-1}. \tag{12}$$

We can also write relation (12) as

$$F_{n+2}^{(r)} = F_{n+1}^{(r)} + F_{n}^{(r)} + P_{n+2}^{(r-1)}.$$

Hyperfibonacci sequences can be defined by the vector recurrence relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1}^{(r)} \\ F_{n+2}^{(r)} \\ \vdots \\ F_{n+r+2}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} = Q_{r+2} \begin{pmatrix} F_{n}^{(r)} \\ F_{n+1}^{(r)} \\ \vdots \\ F_{n+r+1}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

where Q_{r+2} is a square matrix

$$Q_{r+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & \cdots & q_{r+1} & q_{r+2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

In order to determine elements $q_1, \ldots, q_{r+2}$ we use the fact that terms from $-r$ through 0 of the r-th generation hyperfibonacci numbers takes values 0,

$$\ldots \pm 1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, r+1, \ldots$$

This follows from Lemma 1 since we have

$$\binom{(n-2)+r}{r-1} = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)\cdots(n+r-2)}{(r-1)!}. \tag{15}$$

These expressions are obviously equal to 0 for $n = 0, -1, \ldots, -r$.

In particular, when $n = -r+2$ we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ F_2^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & \cdots & q_{r+1} & q_{r+2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

meaning that $q_{r+2} = F_2^{(r)}$. In the same way we obtain relations for all elements of Q_{r+2},

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{r+2} &= F_2^{(r)} \\
q_{r+1} &= F_3^{(r)} - F_2^{(r)} q_{r+2} \\
q_r &= F_4^{(r)} - F_3^{(r)} q_{r+2} - F_2^{(r)} q_{r+1} \\
&\dots \\
q_1 &= F_{r+3}^{(r)} - F_{r+2}^{(r)} q_{r+2} - \cdots - F_2^{(r)} q_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This reasoning gives the next Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *For the hypefibonacci sequences we have*

$$A_{r,n} = Q_{r+2}^n A_{r,0}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Relation (13) can be written as $A_{r,n} = Q_{r+2} A_{r,n-1}$. Now the statement of theorem follows immediately,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{r,n} &= Q_{r+2} A_{r,n-1} = Q_{r+2}^2 A_{r,n-2} \\
&= Q_{r+2}^n A_{r,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Elements $q_1, \dots, q_{r+2}$ can be expressed explicitly. In particular, expressions for q_r, q_{r+1}, q_{r+2} are

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{r+2} &= 1 + r \\
q_{r+1} &= 1 - \binom{r+1}{2} \\
q_r &= \frac{r^3 - 7r}{6}.
\end{aligned}$$

As an example we calculate hyperfibonacci numbers $F_3^{(2)}, F_4^{(2)}, \dots, F_9^{(2)}$ of the second generation, collected in the matrix $A_{2,3}$. For the second generation of the hyperfibonacci sequences we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,0} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & 14 \\ 3 & 7 & 14 & 26 \\ 7 & 14 & 26 & 46 \end{pmatrix} \\
Q_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

according to (5) and (14). Now we determine the matrix $A_{2,3}$ by Theorem 1,

$$A_{2,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 & 14 \\ 3 & 7 & 14 & 26 \\ 7 & 14 & 26 & 46 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 14 & 26 & 46 \\ 14 & 26 & 46 & 79 \\ 26 & 46 & 79 & 133 \\ 46 & 79 & 133 & 221 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the eigenvalues of Q_4 are $\phi, 1, 1, \bar{\phi}$, where

$$\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \bar{\phi} = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

A class of matrices (14) has some further interesting properties. Here we point out that the determinant of such a matrix is -1. This is demonstrated in the following

Lemma 2. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the determinant of a matrix Q_{r+2} takes value -1,*

$$\det(Q_{r+2}) = -1.$$

Proof. We prove this statement by means of comparing determinants of matrices $A_{r,-r}$ and $A_{r,-r-1}$,

$$A_{r,-r} = Q_{r+2} A_{r,-r-1}.$$

For matrix $A_{r,-r-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A_{r,-r-1}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^r & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} \\ 0 & 1 & r+1 & \cdots & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix}_{r\times r} \\ &= (-1)^r \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & r+1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & F_{r-3}^{(r)} & F_{r-2}^{(r)} \\ 1 & r+1 & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix}_{(r-1)\times(r-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{r+1}(-1)^{\lfloor (r-1)/2 \rfloor + 1} \\ &= (-1)^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\det(A_{r,-r}) = (-1)^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ which proves that

$$\det(A_{r,-r}) = -\det(A_{r,-r-1}). \tag{17}$$

Now, the statement of lemma follows immediately by the Binet-Cauchy theorem. □

It is worth mentioning that in [5] authors give some properties of the k-generalized Fibonacci Q-matrix.

3 Cassini identity in a matrix form

Lemma 3.

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1\\ F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1 & F_{n+4}-1\end{pmatrix}=(-1)^n,\ \ n\geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Using the definition of Fibonacci numbers and elementary transformations on rows and columns of determinants we get

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1\\ F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1 & F_{n+4}-1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1\\ F_n+F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+1}+F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+2}+F_{n+3}-1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & F_n+F_{n+1}-1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+1}+F_{n+2}-1\\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n-1 & F_{n+1}-1 & 1\\ F_{n+1}-1 & F_{n+2}-1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n & F_{n+1} & 0\\ F_{n+1} & F_{n+2} & 0\\ 1 & 1 & -1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=-(F_nF_{n+2}-F_{n+1}^2)=(-1)^n \quad \square$$

Lemma 4. *For the first generation of hyperfibonacci sequences* $(F_n^{(1)})_{n\geq 0}$

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)}\\ F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+3}^{(1)}\\ F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+3}^{(1)} & F_{n+4}^{(1)}\end{pmatrix}=(-1)^n.$$

Proof. By using relation

$$F_n^{(1)}=F_{n+2}-1 \tag{19}$$

(that immediately follows from the elementary Fibonacci identity $\sum_{k=0}^n F_k=F_{n+2}-1$, $n\geq 0$) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} F_n^{(1)} & F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)}\\ F_{n+1}^{(1)} & F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+3}^{(1)}\\ F_{n+2}^{(1)} & F_{n+3}^{(1)} & F_{n+4}^{(1)}\end{pmatrix}=\det\begin{pmatrix} F_{n+2}-1 & F_{n+3}-1 & F_{n+4}-1\\ F_{n+3}-1 & F_{n+4}-1 & F_{n+5}-1\\ F_{n+4}-1 & F_{n+5}-1 & F_{n+6}-1\end{pmatrix}=(-1)^n \quad \square$$

Theorem 2. *For the sequence* $(F_k^{(r)})_{k\geq 0}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *a determinant of a matrix* $A_{r,n}$ *takes values* ± 1,

$$\det(A_{r,n}) = (-1)^{n+\left\lfloor \frac{r+3}{2} \right\rfloor}. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Using elementary transformations on matrix and Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(A_{r,0}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} F_0^{(r)} & F_1^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \\ F_1^{(r)} & F_2^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-1}^{(r)} & F_r^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{2r-4}^{(r)} & F_{2r-3}^{(r)} \\ F_{r-1}^{(r)} & F_r^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{2r-3}^{(r)} & F_{2r-2}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_0^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-3}^{(r)} & F_{r-2}^{(r)} \\ F_0^{(r)} & F_1^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ F_{r-3}^{(r)} & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{2r-5}^{(r)} & F_{2r-4}^{(r)} \\ F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{2r-4}^{(r)} & F_{2r-3}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^r \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & F_2^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \\ 1 & F_2^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-1}^{(r)} & F_r^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^r (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{r+2}{2} \rfloor} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & F_2^{(r)} & \cdots & F_{r-1}^{(r)} & F_r^{(r)} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & F_{r-2}^{(r)} & F_{r-1}^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & F_2^{(r)} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{r+3}{2} \rfloor}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(A_{r,n}) &= \det(Q_{r+2})^n \det(A_{r,0}) = (-1)^n \det(A_{r,0}) \\
&= (-1)^n (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{r+3}{2} \rfloor} = (-1)^{n+\lfloor \frac{r+3}{2} \rfloor},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the statement of the theorem.

□

Let $M = M^{(m,n,r)}$ be a matrix with $M_{i,j} = F_{n+i+j-2}^{(r)}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Theorem 2 can be restated as

$$\det\left(M^{(n,r,r+2)}\right) = (-1)^{n+\left\lfloor \frac{r+3}{2} \right\rfloor}.$$

At the end let us show that for $m > r+2$ the following equlity holds:

$$\det\left(M^{(m,n,r)}\right) = 0. \tag{21}$$

The proof of (21) consists of performing elementary transformations on $M^{(m,n,r)}$ leading to a matrix having one column consisting of zeroes.
Take a look at the $i-th$ row of $M^{(m,n,r)}$:

$$[F^{(r)}_{n+i-1}\ F^{(r)}_{n+i}\ F^{(r)}_{n+i+1}\ \cdots\ F^{(r)}_{n+i+j-2}\ \cdots\ F^{(r)}_{n+i+m-3}\ F^{(r)}_{n+i+m-2}].$$

Using (6) and subtracting $j-th$ element from $(j+1)-st$ for $j = m-1, m-2, \ldots, 2, 1$ (thus simulating subtracting a column j from column $j+1$ in a matrix $M^{(m,n,r)}$) we get

$$[F^{(r)}_{n+i-1}\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i}\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i+1}\ \cdots\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i+j-2}\ \cdots\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i+m-3}\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i+m-2}].$$

We can repeat the process for $j = m-1, m-2, \ldots, 3, 2$ and get

$$[F^{(r)}_{n+i-1}\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i}\ F^{(r-2)}_{n+i+1}\ \cdots\ F^{(r-2)}_{n+i+j-2}\ \cdots\ F^{(r-2)}_{n+i+m-3}\ F^{(r-2)}_{n+i+m-2}].$$

After repeating the process $r-th$ time (for $j = m-1, m-2, \ldots, r$), we get

$$[F^{(r)}_{n+i-1}\ F^{(r-1)}_{n+i}\ F^{(r-2)}_{n+i+1}\ \cdots\ F^{(1)}_{n+i+r-2}\ F_{n+i+r-1}\ \cdots\ F_{n+i+m-2}].$$

Since $m > r+2$ we have $n+i+r-1 \leq n+i+m-4$ so the above row contains

$$[\cdots F_{n+i+r-1}\ F_{n+i+r}\ F_{n+i+r+1} \cdots]$$

at positions $r-1$, r and $r+1$. Subtracting first two elements from the third, we get

$$[\cdots F_{n+i+r-1}\ F_{n+i+r}\ 0 \cdots].$$

That way we arrive at a matrix with column consisiting of zeroes whose determinant is therefore zero.

References

[1] N.N. Cao, F. Z. Zhao, Some Properties of Hyperfibonacci and Hyperlucas Numbers, J. Integer Seq. 13, article 10.8.8 1-11 (2010)

[2] A. Dill, I. Mező, A symmetric algorithm for hyperharmonic and Fibonacci numbers, Appl. Math. Comput. 206, 942-951 (2008)

[3] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, Addison-Wesley (1994)

[4] C. Krattenthaler, A. M. Oller-Marcén, A Determinant of Generalized Fibonacci Numbers, J. Combin. Number Theory 5(2), article 2 1–7 (2003)

[5] G.Y. Lee, S.G. Lee, H.G. Shin, On the K-Generalized Fibonacci Matrix Q_k^*, Linear Algebra Appl. 251, 73-88 (1997)

[6] E. Miles, Generalized Fibonacci numbers and related matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly 67, 745-752 (1960)

[7] N. G. Voll, The Cassini Identity and Its Relatives, Fibonacci Quart. 48(3), 197-201 (2010)

[8] S. Vajda, Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, and the golden section, John Wiley Sons, New York (1989)

[9] M. Werman, D. Zeilberger, A Bijective proof of Cassini's Fibonacci identity, Discrete Math. 58, 109 (1986)